UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,743	01/28/2005	Evripidis Koukouravas	016906-0363	9254
	7590 12/17/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIW	CIRIC, LJILJANA V		
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	o. Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/522,743	KOUKOURAVAS, EVRIPIDIS			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric	3744			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allo</u>	<u>owed</u>			
(1) <u>Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric</u> .	(3)				
(2) Kevin McHenry, Reg. No. 62,582.	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>15 December 2009</u>	Time: <u>16:30</u>				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a					
Claims discussed: 1-7					
Prior art documents discussed: n/a					
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance		
/Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744  (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 4 December 2009, Examiner Ciric telephoned Attorney McHenry to propose an examiner's amendment correcting an idiomatic/grammatical informality remaining in the last line of claim 1. On 15 December 2009, Examiner Ciric telephoned Attorney McHenry again to propose additionally correcting (via the same examiner's amendment) a minor antecedent basis problem remaining in the last line of each of claims 6 and 7. Attorney McHenry approved the proposed examiner's amendment (copy attached), thus perfecting allowed claims 1 through 7..